	Case 1:25-cv-00027-KES-SAB	Document 13	Filed 10/20/25	Page 1 of 2			
1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
10							
11	ANTHONY M. GARCIA,	No	o. 1:25-cv-00027-K	XES-SAB (PC)			
12	Plaintiff,		ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISS				
13	v.		RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING RETALIATION CLAIM				
14	JEFF MACOMBER, et al.,	De	oc. 12				
15	Defendants.						
16	Plaintiff Anthony M. Garcia is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this action filed						
17	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States magistrate judge						
18	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.						
19	On August 28, 2025, the as	On August 28, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge screened plaintiff's second amended					
20	complaint and found that it stated a cognizable claim against defendants Jeff Macomber, Ron						
21	Broomfield, and John/Jane Does 1 through 8 for deliberate indifference to his safety in violation						
22	of the Eighth Amendment. Doc. 12. The magistrate judge also found that plaintiff failed to state						
23	a cognizable claim for retaliation and recommended that claim be dismissed. <i>Id.</i> at 5–7. Those						
24	findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice that any objections						
25	thereto were due within 14 days. <i>Id.</i> at 7. Plaintiff did not file objections, and the time to do so						
26	has passed.						
27	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), this Court has conducted a de						
28	novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the file, the Court finds the findings and						
	<b>II</b>	1					

	Case 1:25-c\	/-00027-KES-SAB	Document 13	Filed 10/20/25	Page 2 of 2			
1	recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.							
2	Acco	Accordingly:						
3	1.	The findings and recommendations issued on August 28, 2025, Doc. 12, are						
4	adopted in full;							
5	2.	2. This action shall proceed on plaintiff's claim, in his second amended complaint						
6		filed July 25, 2025, Doc. 10, against defendants Jeff Macomber, Ron Broomfield,						
7	and John/Jane Does 1 through 8 for deliberate indifference to his safety in							
8	violation of the Eighth Amendment;							
9	3. Plaintiff's retaliation claim is dismissed for failure to state a cognizable claim f							
10		relief; and						
11	4.	This action is refer	red back to the ma	gistrate judge for fu	orther proceedings.			
12								
13								
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.			Lith Smy				
15	Dated:	October 20, 2025		UNITED STATES	DISTRICT JUDGE			
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
<ul><li>21</li><li>22</li></ul>								
23								
23 24								
2 <del>4</del> 25								
26								
27								
28								